

On the derived category of a regular toric scheme

Thomas Hüttemann

*Queen's University Belfast, Pure Mathematics Research Centre
Belfast BT7 1NN, Northern Ireland, UK
e-mail: t.huettemann@qub.ac.uk*

Let X be a quasi-compact scheme, equipped with an open covering by affine schemes $U_\sigma = \operatorname{Spec} A^\sigma$. A quasi-coherent sheaf on X gives rise, by taking sections over the U_σ , to a diagram of modules over the coordinate rings A^σ , indexed by the intersection poset Σ of the covering. If X is a regular toric scheme over an arbitrary commutative ring, we prove that the unbounded derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves on X can be obtained from a category of Σ^{op} -diagrams of chain complexes of modules by inverting maps which induce homology isomorphisms on hyper-derived inverse limits. Moreover, we show that there is a finite set of weak generators. If Σ is complete, there is exactly one generator for each cone in the fan Σ .

The approach taken uses the machinery of BOUSFIELD-HIRSCHHORN colocalisation. The first step is to characterise colocal objects; these turn out to be homotopy sheaves in the sense that chain complexes over different open sets U_σ agree on intersections up to quasi-isomorphism. In a second step it is shown that the homotopy category of homotopy sheaves is equivalent to the derived category of X . (July 21, 2008)

AMS subject classification (2000): primary 18F20, secondary 18E30, 18G55, 55U35

Additional keywords: Colocalisation, QUILLEN model structures, generators of derived category

Introduction

A toric scheme $X = X_\Sigma$ over a commutative ring A comes equipped with a preferred covering by open affine sets. From a combinatorial point of view X is specified by a finite fan Σ in $\mathbb{Z}^n \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$, and each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ corresponds to an A -algebra A^σ and hence to an open affine set $U_\sigma = \operatorname{Spec}(A^\sigma) \subseteq X$. By evaluating on the open sets U_σ we see that a chain complex Y of quasi-coherent sheaves on X_Σ can thus be specified by a collection of A^σ -module chain complexes Y^σ for $\sigma \in \Sigma$, subject to certain compatibility conditions. These include, among other things, isomorphisms of chain complexes

$$A^\tau \otimes_{A^\sigma} Y^\sigma \cong Y^\tau \tag{0.1}$$

for all pairs of cones $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ in Σ ; in the language of sheaves, this means that we recover Y^τ by restricting the sections Y^σ over U_σ to the smaller open set U_τ .

The main result of this paper is that the derived category of X_Σ can be described using collections of chain complexes which do not necessarily satisfy the compatibility condition (0.1). In more technical parlance, we will prove that the category of (twisted) diagrams

$$\Sigma^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{chain complexes}, \sigma \mapsto Y^\sigma$$

admits a “colocal” model structure whose homotopy category is equivalent to the (unbounded) derived category $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X_\Sigma))$, cf. Theorem 4.6.1. In the process we will also identify explicitly a finite set of weak generators of $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X_\Sigma))$, cf. Construction 3.3.3. In case Σ is a complete fan, the description is particularly simple: It suffices to take one line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\vec{\sigma})$ for each cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, cf. Example 3.3.4 and Corollary 4.6.2.

The cofibrant objects of the colocal model structure are characterised by a weak form of compatibility condition (Theorem 3.4.2): Instead of requiring isomorphisms as in (0.1) we ask for quasi-isomorphisms

$$A^\tau \otimes_{A^\sigma} Y^\sigma \simeq Y^\tau$$

for all pairs of cones $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ in Σ . We call the resulting structure a *homotopy sheaf*. Clearly every chain complex of quasi-coherent sheaves is a homotopy sheaf.

A main ingredient of the proof is that the homotopy category of homotopy sheaves is nothing but the (unbounded) derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves on X_Σ (Theorem 4.5.1); this result is valid for arbitrary toric schemes defined over a commutative ring A , and holds more generally for quasi-compact A -schemes equipped with a finite semi-separating affine covering. Note that every quasi-compact separated scheme can be equipped with such a covering. The main technical result is that homotopy sheaves can be replaced, up to quasi-isomorphism on the covering sets, by quasi-coherent sheaves (Lemma 4.4.1).

The paper illustrates the philosophy that homotopy sheaves are a flexible substitute for quasi-coherent sheaves which allow for easier handling in a homotopy-theoretic setting.

We will use the language of QUILLEN model categories as presented by DWYER and SPALINSKI [DS95], HIRSCHHORN [Hir03] and HOVEY [Hov99]. Another essential ingredient is the language of toric varieties, and the corresponding combinatorial objects (cones and fans); a full treatment can be found in FULTON’s book [Ful93]. We will also have occasion to use variants of diagram categories and their associated model category structures as introduced by RÖNDIGS and the author [HR].

1 Chain complexes

1.1 Model structure and resolutions

Let A denote a ring with unit. The category Ch_A of (possibly unbounded) chain complexes of left A -modules will be considered with the *projective model structure*: Weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms, and fibrations are those maps which are surjective in each degree [Hov99, Theorem 2.3.11]. A particularly convenient feature of this model structure is that *all chain complexes are fibrant*.

Also of interest is the full subcategory Ch_A^+ of non-negative chain complexes. It is a model category with weak equivalences and cofibrations as before, but with fibrations the maps which are surjective in positive degrees [DS95, Theorem 7.2]. The category Ch_A^+ is equivalent to the category sMod_A of simplicial A -modules; the equivalence is given by the reduced chain complex functor $N: \mathrm{sMod}_A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_A^+$ and its inverse, the DOLD-KAN functor W . Given a chain complex $C \in \mathrm{Ch}_A^+$ the result of applying W is the simplicial A -module

$$\mathbb{N} \ni n \mapsto \mathrm{hom}_{\mathrm{Ch}_A}(N(A[\Delta^n]), C)$$

where Δ^n denotes the standard n -simplex. The functors N and W preserve and detect weak equivalences.

Note that we can consider N as a functor with values in the category Ch_A . Similarly, the definition of W above makes sense even if C is an unbounded chain complex. In this context, the following is known to be true:

1.1.1 Lemma. *Let $N: \mathrm{sMod}_A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}_A$ and $W: \mathrm{Ch}_A \longrightarrow \mathrm{sMod}_A$ be defined as above.*

- (1) *The functor N is left QUILLEN with right adjoint W .*
- (2) *The functor N preserves and detects weak equivalences.*
- (3) *A map f of chain complexes induces an H_n -isomorphism for all $n \geq 0$ if and only if $W(f)$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial modules. $\square$*

1.1.2 Lemma. *The category Ch_A is a cellular model category in the sense of [Hir03, §12]; the set of generating cofibrations is*

$$I := \{S_{n-1}(A) \longrightarrow D_n(A) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} ,$$

and the set of generating acyclic cofibrations is

$$J := \{0 \longrightarrow D_n(A) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} .$$

Here $S_k(A)$ denotes the chain complex which has A in degree k and is trivial everywhere else, and $D_n(A)$ denotes the chain complex which has A in degrees n and $n-1$ with boundary map the identity, and is trivial everywhere else.

Proof. This is the content of [Hov99, Theorem 2.3.11]. $\square$

1.1.3 Lemma. *Let $C \in \text{Ch}_A$ be a cofibrant chain complex. The cosimplicial chain complex $N(A[\Delta^\bullet]) \otimes_A C$, i.e., the cosimplicial object*

$$\mathbb{N} \ni n \mapsto N(A[\Delta^n]) \otimes_A C,$$

*defines a cosimplicial resolution [Hir03, §16.1] of C ; the structure map to the constant cosimplicial object cc^*C is induced by the unique map $\Delta^n \longrightarrow \Delta^0$ and the natural isomorphism $N(A[\Delta^0]) \otimes_A C \cong C$. The n -th latching object is the chain complex $L_n(N(A[\Delta^\bullet]) \otimes_A C) = N(A[\partial\Delta^n]) \otimes_A C$.*

Proof. The category of cosimplicial objects in Ch_A carries a REEDY model structures [Hir03, §15.3]. To prove the Lemma, the non-trivial thing to verify is that $N(A[\Delta^\bullet]) \otimes_A C$ is cofibrant with respect to this model structure.

The category of cosimplicial simplicial A -modules carries a REEDY model structure as well. The object $A[\Delta^\bullet]$ is known to be cofibrant, so for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the latching map [Hir03, Proposition 16.3.8 (1)]

$$A[\partial\Delta^n] = A[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \partial\Delta^n = L_n A[\Delta^\bullet] \longrightarrow A[\Delta^n] = A[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \Delta^n$$

is a cofibration of simplicial A -modules. Hence we have a cofibration of chain complexes

$$N(L_n A[\Delta^\bullet]) \longrightarrow N(A[\Delta^n])$$

since the functor N is left QUILLEN by Lemma 1.1.1. Now the functor N , being a left adjoint, commutes with colimits so that the source of this map is isomorphic to $L_n N(A[\Delta^\bullet])$. Taking tensor product with a cofibrant chain complex preserves cofibrations and commutes with colimits, so by applying $\cdot \otimes_A C$ we see that the latching map

$$L_n(N(A[\Delta^\bullet]) \otimes_A C) \cong L_n N(A[\Delta^\bullet]) \otimes_A C \longrightarrow N(A[\Delta^n]) \otimes_A C$$

of $N(A[\Delta^\bullet]) \otimes_A C$ is a cofibration as required. $\square$

1.2 Homotopy limits of diagrams of chain complexes

1.2.1 Definition. Let $f: C \longrightarrow D$ be a map of (possibly) unbounded chain complexes. The *canonical path space factorisation* of f is the factorisation $C \xrightarrow{i} P(f) \xrightarrow{p} D$ where the degree n part of $P(f)$ is $C_n \times D_{n+1} \times D_n$ with differential as specified in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_n & \times & D_{n+1} & \times & D_n \\ \downarrow \partial & \searrow \wr & \downarrow -\partial & \swarrow // & \downarrow \partial \\ C_{n-1} & \times & D_n & \times & D_{n-1} \end{array}$$

The map $i = (\text{id}, 0, f)$ is a chain homotopy equivalence (with homotopy inverse given by pr_1). The map $p = \text{pr}_3$ is levelwise surjective, hence p is a fibration in Ch_A (in the projective model structure).

In what follows, we will be concerned with diagrams indexed by a finite fan Σ . A *cone* in a finite-dimensional real vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the positive span of a finite set of vectors of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. A *fan* is a finite collection of cones $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k\}$ which is closed under taking faces, and satisfies the condition that the intersection of two cones in Σ is a face of both cones. We also require that all the cones are *pointed*, *i.e.*, have the trivial cone $\{0\}$ as a face. We consider a fan Σ as a poset ordered by inclusion of cones or, equivalently, as a category with morphisms given by inclusion of cones. The trivial cone $\{0\}$ is initial in the category Σ .—By abuse of language, we refer to $\dim(N_{\mathbb{R}})$ as the dimension of Σ .

1.2.2 Definition. Let Σ denote a finite fan. Given a diagram of chain complexes

$$C: \Sigma^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ch}_A, \quad \sigma \mapsto C^\sigma$$

we define its *canonical fibrant replacement*

$$PC: \Sigma^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ch}_A$$

inductively as follows. To begin with, set $(PC)^{\{0\}} = C^{\{0\}}$. For every 1-dimensional cone $\rho \in \Sigma$ factor the map $f: C^\rho \longrightarrow (PC)^{\{0\}} = C^{\{0\}}$ as

$$C^\rho \longrightarrow P(f) \longrightarrow (PC)^{\{0\}},$$

see Definition 1.2.1, and set $(PC)^\rho = P(f)$. Now continue by induction on the dimension: Given a positive-dimensional cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$, factor the map $f: C^\sigma \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} (PC)^\tau$ as

$$C^\sigma \longrightarrow P(f) \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} (PC)^\tau,$$

and define $(PC)^\sigma = P(f)$.

The resulting map of diagrams $C \longrightarrow PC$ is an objectwise injective weak equivalence. By construction the diagram PC is fibrant in the sense that for all cones $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the map

$$(PC)^\sigma \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} (PC)^\tau$$

is surjective (the limit taken over all cones strictly contained in σ). The terminology relates to a model structure on the category of Σ^{op} -diagrams in Ch_A with objectwise weak equivalences and cofibrations.

The passage from C to PC is functorial in C and maps objectwise weak equivalences to objectwise weak equivalences.

1.2.3 Definition. Let Σ denote a finite fan as before, and let C denote a diagram of chain complexes

$$C: \Sigma^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ch}_A, \quad \sigma \mapsto C^\sigma.$$

The *homotopy limit* $\mathrm{holim}(C) = \mathrm{holim}_{\Sigma^{\mathrm{op}}}(C)$ of C is defined as

$$\mathrm{holim}(C) := \lim PC .$$

The homology modules of $\mathrm{holim}(C)$ are called the *hyper-derived inverse limits* of the diagram C .

1.2.4 Remark. (1) If Σ has a unique (inclusion-)maximal cone μ , then

$$\mathrm{holim}(C) = \lim PC \cong (PC)^\mu ,$$

so $C^\mu \simeq \mathrm{holim}(C)$ induced by the quasi-isomorphism $C^\mu \xrightarrow{\sim} (PC)^\mu$.

(2) If D is a Σ -indexed diagram of A -modules, viewed as a diagram of chain complexes concentrated in degree 0, then the homotopy limit computes higher derived inverse limit:

$$h_{-k}\mathrm{holim}(D) \cong \lim^k(D) .$$

Of course $\lim^k(D)$ will be trivial in this case unless $0 \leq k \leq n$.

The homotopy limit construction is invariant under weak equivalences of diagrams. That is, if $f: C \longrightarrow D$ is an objectwise quasi-isomorphism then the induced map $\mathrm{holim}(C) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim}(D)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

1.2.5 Lemma. *Let C be a chain complex of A -modules, and let $\mathrm{con}(C)$ denote the constant Σ^{op} -diagram with value C . Then $C \simeq \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{con}(C))$.*

Proof. Since Σ^{op} has terminal object $\{0\}$, it is easy to see that for $\sigma \neq \{0\}$ the map

$$C = \mathrm{con}(C)^\sigma \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} \mathrm{con}(C)^\tau = C$$

is the identity. This means that $\mathrm{con}(C)$ is fibrant in the model structure mentioned above. Hence the canonical map $\mathrm{con}(C) \longrightarrow P\mathrm{con}(C)$ is a weak equivalence of fibrant diagrams. Consequently, the right QUILLEN functor “inverse limit” yields a quasi-isomorphism

$$C = \lim \mathrm{con}(C) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim P\mathrm{con}(C) = \mathrm{holim}(\mathrm{con}(C))$$

by application of BROWN’s Lemma [DS95, dual of Lemma 9.9]. □

2 Presheaves and line bundles on toric schemes

2.1 Toric schemes

Let $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ denote a lattice of rank n . Write $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. There is an obvious inclusion $N \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ given by identifying $p \in N$ with $p \otimes 1 \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We

denote the dual lattice of N by the letter M , and write $M_{\mathbb{R}} = M \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Clearly $M \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$, and $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the dual vector space of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let Σ be a finite fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, cf. §1.2. In addition to the conditions listed there, we require each cone in Σ to be *rational*, *i.e.*, spanned by finitely many vectors in $N \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. We write $\Sigma(1)$ for the set of 1-cones in Σ . Similarly, if $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is any cone we write $\sigma(1)$ for the set of 1-cones of Σ contained in σ . Every 1-cone ρ is spanned by a unique primitive element $n_{\rho} \in N$; the set $\{n_{\rho} \mid \rho \in \sigma(1)\}$ is called the set of primitive generators of $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

A cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ then gives rise to a pointed monoid

$$S_{\sigma} = \{f \in M \mid \forall \rho \in \sigma(1) : f(n_{\rho}) \geq 0\}_{+} \quad (2.1)$$

where the subscript “+” means adding a new element $*$ which acts like $a + * = * + a = *$ for all $a \in S_{\sigma}$; this convention will be useful when describing restriction functors in §2.4. The cone σ thus determines an A -algebra

$$A^{\sigma} = \tilde{A}[S_{\sigma}]$$

where A is any ring with unit (possibly non-commutative), and $\tilde{A}[S_{\sigma}]$ is the reduced monoid algebra $A[S_{\sigma}]/A[*]$ of S_{σ} .

In case A is a commutative ring, we set $U_{\sigma} = \text{Spec}(A^{\sigma})$, and define the A -scheme X_{Σ} as the union $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} U_{\sigma}$. By construction, $U_{\sigma} \cap U_{\tau} = U_{\sigma \cap \tau}$ for all cones $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma$. The scheme X_{Σ} is called *the toric scheme associated to Σ* . If A is an algebraically closed field, X_{Σ} is an algebraic variety over A . See FULTON [Ful93] for a full treatment of toric varieties, and more details of the construction.

2.2 Presheaves on toric schemes

As before let Σ denote a finite fan of rational pointed cones, and let A denote a (possibly non-commutative) ring with unit. For commutative A this data defines an A -scheme X_{Σ} as indicated in §2.1. But even if A is non-commutative we will speak of presheaves on X_{Σ} :

2.2.1 Definition. The category $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ of *presheaves on the toric scheme X_{Σ} defined over A* has objects the diagrams

$$C : \Sigma^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ch}_A, \quad \sigma \mapsto C^{\sigma}$$

together with additional data that equip each entry C^{σ} with the structure of an object of $\text{Ch}_{A^{\sigma}}$, and such that for each inclusion $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ in Σ the structure map $C^{\sigma} \longrightarrow C^{\tau}$ is A^{σ} -linear.

A particularly useful example of a presheaf is the functor

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\vec{0}) : \Sigma^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ch}_A, \quad \sigma \mapsto A^{\sigma}$$

(see §2.5) where we consider the algebra A^{σ} as an A^{σ} -module chain complex concentrated in degree 0.

2.3 Model structures

The category $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ defined above is an example of a twisted diagram category in the sense of [HR, §2.2], formed with respect to an adjunction bundle similar to the one described in Example 2.5.4 of *loc.cit.* (one needs to replace “modules” with “chain complexes of modules”). We thus know that the category $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ has two QUILLEN model structures, called the f -structure and the c -structure, respectively. In both cases the weak equivalences are the object-wise quasi-isomorphisms. Fibrations and cofibrations are different, as explained below.

2.3.1. The f -structure [HR, Theorem 3.3.5]. In this model structure, a map $f: C \longrightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is a cofibration if and only if all its components f^σ , $\sigma \in \Sigma$, are cofibrations in their respective categories.

Fibrations can be characterised using *matching complexes*. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ define $M^\sigma(C) := \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} C^\tau$, the limit taken in the category $\mathbf{Ch}_{A^\sigma}$ over all $\tau \in \Sigma$ properly contained in σ . Then $f: C \longrightarrow D$ is a fibration if and only if for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the induced map $\iota: C^\sigma \longrightarrow M^\sigma(C) \times_{M^\sigma(D)} D^\sigma$ is a fibration in $\mathbf{Ch}_{A^\sigma}$ (i.e., if ι is levelwise surjective).

2.3.2 Lemma. *Let C be an object of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$. The canonical fibrant replacement PC of C as defined in 1.2.2 yields an f -fibrant object of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. The important thing to note is that for each inclusion of cones $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ there is an inclusion of algebras $A^\sigma \subseteq A^\tau$, so C^τ can be considered as an A^σ -module chain complex by restriction of scalars. It is then a matter of tracing the definitions to see that $PC \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$. Since fibrations are surjections in all relevant categories of chain complexes, and since surjectivity can be detected after restricting scalars to the ground ring A , the Lemma follows. $\square$

2.3.3. The c -structure [HR, Theorem 3.2.13]. In this model structure, a map $f: C \longrightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is a fibration if and only if all its components f^σ , $\sigma \in \Sigma$, are fibrations in their respective categories (i.e., the components are surjective in all chain levels). Note that all objects of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ are c -fibrant.

Cofibrations can be characterised using *latching complexes*. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ define $L_\sigma(C) := \operatorname{colim}_{\tau \supset \sigma} A^\sigma \otimes_{A^\tau} C^\tau$, the colimit being taken over all $\tau \in \Sigma$ properly containing σ . Then $f: C \longrightarrow D$ is a cofibration if and only if for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the map

$$L_\sigma(D) \cup_{L_\sigma(C)} C^\sigma \longrightarrow D^\sigma$$

is a cofibration in $\mathbf{Ch}_{A^\sigma}$. In particular, D is cofibrant if and only if for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the map $L_\sigma(D) \longrightarrow D^\sigma$ is a cofibration.

For $\tau \in \Sigma$ and $P \in \mathbf{Ch}_A$ we define the diagram

$$F_\tau(P): \sigma \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \not\subseteq \tau \\ A^\sigma \otimes_A P & \text{if } \sigma \subseteq \tau \end{cases}$$

together with the evident structure maps induced by the various inclusions of A -algebras $A^\sigma \longrightarrow A^{\sigma'}$.

2.3.4 Lemma. *The c -structure is a cellular model structure in the sense of [Hir03, §12.1]. A set of generating cofibrations is given by*

$$I_c := \{F_\tau(i) \mid i \in I, \tau \in \Sigma\}$$

where I is as in Lemma 1.1.2. Similarly, a set of generating acyclic cofibrations is

$$J_c := \{F_\tau(j) \mid j \in J, \tau \in \Sigma\}$$

with J as in Lemma 1.1.2.

Proof. This follows by direct inspection from Lemma 1.1.2. We omit the details. $\square$

2.3.5 Lemma. *Suppose $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is a c -cofibrant object (2.3.3). Then*

$$A[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes C: \Sigma^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ch}_A, \quad \sigma \mapsto A[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes_A C^\sigma$$

is a cosimplicial resolution of C .

Proof. This follows from the fact that $A[\Delta^\bullet]$ is REEDY cofibrant cosimplicial simplicial module, and the fact the taking tensor products commutes with colimits. The details are similar to Lemma 1.1.3. $\square$

2.4 Restriction and extension by zero

We will use the notation of §2.1. Let Σ denote a finite fan in $N_\mathbb{R}$. Given a cone $\rho \in \Sigma$ we define the *star of ρ* as

$$\text{st}(\rho) = \{\sigma \in \Sigma \mid \rho \subseteq \sigma\}.$$

2.4.1. A 1-cone $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ determines a fan Σ/ρ in an $(n-1)$ -dimensional vector space as follows. Let $\mathbb{Z}\rho$ denote the sub-lattice of N generated by the span of ρ . Then $\bar{N} = N/\mathbb{Z}\rho$ is a lattice of rank $n-1$. Given any cone $\sigma \in \text{st}(\rho)$ the image $\bar{\sigma}$ of σ under the projection $N_\mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \bar{N}_\mathbb{R}$ is a pointed rational polyhedral cone, and by varying $\sigma \in \text{st}(\rho)$ we obtain a fan Σ/ρ of a toric scheme denoted $X_{\Sigma/\rho} = V_\rho$. Note that this new fan is isomorphic, as a graded poset, to $\text{st}(\rho)$.—If $A = \mathbb{C}$ then V_ρ is the closure of the orbit in X_Σ corresponding to ρ , and it is known that V_ρ has codimension 1 in X_Σ .

From now on we will assume that the fan is *regular*, that is, each cone of Σ is spanned by part of a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis (which depends on the cone under consideration) of the lattice N . This condition is equivalent to the requirement that the toric variety X_Σ defined over $\mathbb{C}$ is smooth.

Given $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ and $\sigma \in \text{st}(\rho)$ let $n_1, \dots, n_k$ denote the primitive elements of the 1-cones contained in σ . Suppose that $n_k \in \rho$ (which can be achieved by renumbering). Let $\bar{\sigma}$ denote the image of σ in $\bar{N}_{\mathbb{R}} = (N/\mathbb{Z}\rho)_{\mathbb{R}}$ as before, and denote the images of the n_j in $\bar{N}$ by $\bar{n}_j$. Since σ is regular, the $\bar{n}_1, \dots, \bar{n}_{k-1}$ form part of a basis of the lattice $\bar{N}$, and are precisely the primitive elements of the 1-cones contained in $\bar{\sigma}$. Since the lattice dual of $\bar{N}$ is $M \cap \rho^\perp$, we see that

$$S_{\bar{\sigma}} \cong \{f \in M \mid f(n_j) \geq 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq k-1, \text{ and } f|_{\rho} = 0\}_+$$

(compare to the description (2.1) of the monoid S_{σ}). Of course $f|_{\rho} = 0$ is equivalent to $f(n_k) = 0$.—We obtain a surjective map of pointed monoids

$$S_{\sigma} \longrightarrow S_{\bar{\sigma}}, \quad f \mapsto \begin{cases} f & \text{if } f|_{\rho} = 0 \\ * & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

and, by linearisation, a corresponding surjective map of A -algebras

$$A^{\sigma} \longrightarrow A^{\bar{\sigma}}. \quad (2.3)$$

For commutative A this map exhibits $\text{Spec}(A^{\bar{\sigma}}) = V_{\rho} \cap U_{\sigma}$ as a closed subset of $U_{\sigma} \subseteq X_{\Sigma}$.

2.4.2. Recall that the fan Σ/ρ of V_{ρ} is isomorphic, as a poset, to $\text{st}(\rho) \subseteq \Sigma$. Thus an object $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma/\rho)$ can be considered as a functor defined on the poset $\text{st}(\rho)^{\text{op}}$, and we define a diagram $\zeta(C)$ on Σ^{op} by setting

$$\zeta(C)^{\sigma} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \rho \not\subseteq \sigma \\ C^{\sigma} & \text{if } \rho \subseteq \sigma \end{cases}$$

with structure maps induced by those of C . For $\sigma \in \text{st}(\rho)$ we let A^{σ} act on $\zeta(C)^{\sigma}$ via the surjection $A^{\sigma} \longrightarrow A^{\bar{\sigma}}$. In this way, $\zeta(C)$ becomes an object of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$, called the *extension by zero* of C . By direct computation we verify:

2.4.3 Lemma. *For $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma/\tau)$ there is an equality*

$$\text{holim}_{\Sigma^{\text{op}}} C = \text{holim}_{\text{st}(\rho)^{\text{op}}} \zeta(C)$$

where we consider the presheaves on left and right hand side as diagrams with values in the category of A -modules to form the homotopy limits (1.2.3). $\square$

2.4.4. The extension functor $\zeta: \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma/\rho) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ has a left adjoint ε , called *restriction to V_{ρ}* . Its effect on $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is the following: As a diagram of A -module chain complexes, $\varepsilon(C)$ is given by

$$\varepsilon(C): \text{st}(\rho)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ch}_A, \quad M \mapsto A^{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes_{A^{\sigma}} C^{\sigma},$$

the tensor product formed with respect to the surjection $A^{\sigma} \longrightarrow A^{\bar{\sigma}}$. We also denote $\varepsilon(C)$ by $C|_{V_{\rho}}$.

2.5 Line bundles and twisting

As before, let Σ denote a regular fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, and recall that every 1-cone $\rho \in \Sigma$ is generated by a unique primitive element $n_{\rho} \in N$.

2.5.1 Construction. Fix a vector $\vec{k} = (k_{\rho})_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$. Since Σ is regular we can find for every cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ an integral linear form $f_{\sigma}: N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, unique up to adding a linear form vanishing on σ , which satisfies $f_{\sigma}(n_{\rho}) = -k_{\rho}$ for every 1-cone ρ contained in σ .

If $\tau \in \Sigma$ is another cone, then f_{τ} and f_{σ} agree on $\tau \cap \sigma$ (since they agree on 1-cones of $\tau \cap \sigma$), and both $\pm(f_{\tau} - f_{\sigma})$ are elements of $S_{\tau \cap \sigma}$. Consequently we have $f_{\tau} + S_{\tau \cap \sigma} = f_{\sigma} + S_{\tau \cap \sigma}$; in particular, the set $f_{\sigma} + S_{\sigma}$ depends on σ and $\vec{k}$ only (and not the specific choice of function f_{σ}). We thus obtain a well-defined functor

$$\mathcal{O}(\vec{k}): \Sigma^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow A\text{-mod}, \quad \tau \mapsto \tilde{A}[f_{\tau} + S_{\tau}] ,$$

considered as a diagram of chain complexes concentrated in degree 0. Structure maps are given by inclusions. We call $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ the *line bundle determined by $\vec{k}$* . Note that $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ is, in fact, an object of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ (as usual, we think of modules as chain complexes concentrated in degree 0): The action of S_{τ} on $f_{\tau} + S_{\tau}$ extends to an A^{τ} -module structure of $\tilde{A}[f_{\tau} + S_{\tau}]$, and for $\rho \subseteq \tau$ the structure maps $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})^{\tau} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})^{\rho}$ are easily seen to be linear with respect to the ring A^{τ} .

In effect the vector $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$, or rather the collection of the f_{σ} , determines a piecewise linear function on the underlying space of Σ , and we have given a combinatorial description of the associated line bundle on X_{Σ} .

2.5.2 Example. Let Σ denote the fan of the projective line; it is a fan in $\mathbb{R}$ with 1-cones the non-positive and non-negative real numbers, respectively. For a vector $\vec{k} = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ the diagram $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ then has the form

$$T^{k_1} \cdot A[T^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\subset} A[T, T^{-1}] \xleftarrow{\supset} T^{-k_2} \cdot A[T]$$

which, as a quasi-coherent sheaf, is isomorphic to the algebraic geometers' sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k_1 + k_2)$.

In general, recall that $S_{\tau} = \{g \in M \mid \forall \rho \in \tau(1): g(n_{\rho}) \geq 0\}_{+}$. The map $g \mapsto f_{\tau} + g$ defines an S_{τ} -equivariant bijection from S_{τ} to

$$B(\vec{k})_{\tau} := f_{\tau} + S_{\tau} = \{g \in M \mid \forall \rho \in \tau(1): g(n_{\rho}) \geq -k_{\rho}\}_{+} . \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})^{\tau}$ is a free A^{τ} -module of rank 1.

From the construction it is clear that *given another vector $\vec{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ with $\vec{\ell} \leq \vec{k}$ (componentwise inequality) we have a canonical injection (inclusion map) $\mathcal{O}(\vec{\ell}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$.*

2.5.3 Lemma. *Given a 1-cone $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ and a cone σ properly containing ρ , let $\tau \in \Sigma$ denote the maximal face of σ not containing ρ (this is well-defined since Σ is regular). Let $f \in M$ be a linear form which takes the value 1 on the primitive generator of ρ , and takes the value 0 on the primitive generators of τ . Then $f \in S_\sigma$, and $S_\tau = S_\sigma + \mathbb{Z}f$. In other words, the monoid S_τ is obtained from S_σ by inverting the element f .*

Proof. Let $n_1, \dots, n_k$ be the primitive generators of τ , and let n_{k+1} be the primitive generator of ρ .

A linear form $g \in M$ is in S_σ if and only if it evaluates to non-negative numbers on primitive generators of σ , i.e., if and only if $g(n_i) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k+1$. So $f \in S_\sigma$ as claimed.

Similarly, we have $g \in S_\tau$ if and only if $g(n_i) \geq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Thus we have the inclusion $S_\tau \supseteq S_\sigma + \mathbb{Z}f$. For the reverse inclusion, let $g \in S_\tau$. Then $(g - g(n_{k+1}) \cdot f)(n_{k+1}) = 0$, so

$$g = (g - g(n_{k+1}) \cdot f) + g(n_{k+1}) \cdot f$$

is an element of $S_\sigma + \mathbb{Z}f$ as claimed. $\square$

2.5.4 Construction. Let $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ and $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ be given. Suppose that $k_\rho = 0$. The vector $\vec{k}$ defines a line bundle on $V_\rho = X_{\Sigma/\rho}$ corresponding to a vector $\vec{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\Sigma/\rho)(1)}$ described as follows. Since Σ/ρ is isomorphic to $\text{st}(\rho)$ we can write $\vec{\ell} = (\ell_\sigma)$ where σ ranges over the 2-dimensional cones in $\text{st}(\rho)$. For such a cone σ let τ denote the 1-cone contained in it different from ρ , and set $\ell_\sigma = k_\tau$.

For $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ recall that the fan of V_ρ is a fan in $(N/\mathbb{Z}\rho)_\mathbb{R} \cong N/\mathbb{R}\rho$, and that $N/\mathbb{Z}\rho$ and $M \cap \rho^\perp$ are dual to each other. Let $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ with $k_\rho = 0$. Given a cone $\bar{\sigma}$ in the quotient fan, corresponding to $\sigma \in \text{st}(\rho)$, the module $\mathcal{O}(\vec{\ell})^{\bar{\sigma}}$ is the reduced free A -module with basis

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ f \in M \cap \rho^\perp \mid f(n_\tau) \geq -k_\tau \text{ for } \tau \in \sigma(1) \setminus \{\rho\} \right\}_+ \\ &= \left\{ f \in M \mid f(n_\rho) = 0 \text{ and } f(n_\tau) \geq -k_\tau \text{ for } \tau \in \sigma(1) \setminus \{\rho\} \right\}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Using this explicit description, it is readily verified that $\mathcal{O}(\vec{\ell})^{\bar{\sigma}}$ is isomorphic to $A^{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes_{A^\sigma} \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})^\sigma$, where the tensor product is formed with respect to the surjection $A^\sigma \longrightarrow A^{\bar{\sigma}}$ from (2.3). In fact, $A^{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes_{A^\sigma} \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})^\sigma$ is the reduced free A -module on the pointed set $S_{\bar{\sigma}} \wedge_{S_\sigma} B(\vec{k})_\sigma$, formed with respect to the surjection $S_\sigma \longrightarrow S_{\bar{\sigma}}$ from (2.2), which is isomorphic to the set specified in (2.5) above.

2.5.5 Corollary. *For $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ and $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ with $k_\rho = 0$, let $\vec{\ell}$ denote the vector described in Construction 2.5.4. Then there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})|_{V_\rho} \cong \mathcal{O}(\vec{\ell})$ of objects in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma/\rho)$. In words, the restriction of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k}) \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ to $X_{\Sigma/\rho} = V_\rho$ is the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\vec{\ell}) \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma/\rho)$. $\square$*

Note that (2.5) also specifies an A -basis of the module $\zeta\left(\mathcal{O}(\vec{\ell})\right)^\sigma$ in the extension by zero. Using (2.2) we can give an explicit description of the S_σ -action on this set: The element $a \in S_\sigma$ acts by addition if $a(n_\rho) = 0$, and acts as the zero operator if $a(n_\rho) \neq 0$.

2.5.6 Proposition. *Let ρ be a 1-cone in Σ , and let $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ be a vector with $k_\rho = 0$. Then the cofibre of the inclusion map*

$$i: \mathcal{O}(\vec{k} - \vec{\rho}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$$

is isomorphic to the extension by zero of the restriction of $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ to V_ρ . Here $\vec{\rho} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ is the ρ -th unit vector, i.e., the vector with ρ -component 1 and all other entries zero.

Proof. Let C denote the cofibre of i , and let $E = \zeta(\varepsilon(\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})))$ denote the extension by zero of the restriction.

Let $\sigma \in \Sigma \setminus \text{st}(\rho)$ so that $\rho \not\subseteq \sigma$. We have $E^\sigma = 0$ by definition of extension, and we also have $C^\sigma = 0$ since $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})^\sigma = \mathcal{O}(\vec{k} + e_\rho)^\sigma$. So the σ -components of C and E coincide in this case.

Now let $\sigma \in \text{st}(\rho)$. We know that C^σ is a free A -module with pointed basis given by the cofibre of the inclusion of pointed sets

$$B(\vec{k} - \vec{\rho})_\sigma \longrightarrow B(\vec{k})_\sigma ,$$

cf. (2.4) for notation. Cofibres of pointed sets can be computed by taking complements and adding a base point. It follows by inspection that C^σ has a pointed A -basis given by the set described in (2.5) which is also a pointed A -basis of E^σ by the discussion before. Hence the σ -components of C and E agree in this case as well.

The reader can check that the structure maps of C and E correspond under these identifications. $\square$

2.5.7 Definition. Given $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ and $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$, we define the $\vec{k}$ -th twist of C , denoted $C(\vec{k})$, by

$$C(\vec{k})^\sigma = \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})^\sigma \otimes_{A^\sigma} C^\sigma$$

with structure maps induced by those of C and $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$.

This definition corresponds to tensoring a quasi-coherent sheaf with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$, expressed in the language of diagrams.

It is easy to check that $C(\vec{k})(\vec{\ell}) \cong C(\vec{k} + \vec{\ell})$. For σ -components this comes from the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})^\sigma \otimes_{A^\sigma} \mathcal{O}(\vec{\ell})^\sigma \cong \mathcal{O}(\vec{k} + \vec{\ell})^\sigma$. Since $C(\vec{0}) \cong C$, this proves:

2.5.8 Lemma. *Let $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$. The twisting functor $C \mapsto C(\vec{k})$ is a self-equivalence of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ with inverse $C \mapsto C(-\vec{k})$.* $\square$

For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ there is an S_σ -equivariant bijection $B(\vec{k})_\sigma \longrightarrow S_\sigma$, cf. (2.4). Note that this bijection is not canonical: It may be modified by adding or subtracting a fixed invertible element of S_σ . By passing to free A -modules, we obtain a non-canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})^\sigma \cong A^\sigma$ and consequently a non-canonical isomorphism $C(\vec{k})^\sigma \cong C^\sigma$. This implies that twisting preserves and detects weak equivalences of presheaves, preserves c -fibrations (objectwise surjections), and preserves f -cofibrations (objectwise cofibrations). From Lemma 2.5.8 we thus conclude:

2.5.9 Corollary. *Let $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$.*

- (1) *The twisting functor $C \mapsto C(\vec{k})$ is a left and right QUILLEN functor with respect to the c -structure; in particular, if $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is c -cofibrant so is $C(\vec{k})$.*
- (2) *The twisting functor $C \mapsto C(\vec{k})$ is a left and right QUILLEN functor with respect to the f -structure; in particular, if $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is f -fibrant so is $C(\vec{k})$. $\square$*

2.5.10 Lemma. *For $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ and $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ there are isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(\mathcal{O}(\vec{k}), C) \cong \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(\mathcal{O}, C(-\vec{k})) \cong \lim C(-\vec{k}) .$$

These isomorphisms are natural in C .

Proof. This follows from inspection, using the trivial fact that $\mathcal{O}^\sigma = A^\sigma$ is the free A^σ -module of rank 1. $\square$

3 Sheaves, homotopy sheaves, and colocalisation

3.1 Sheaves and homotopy sheaves

3.1.1 Definition. An object $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is called a *(strict) sheaf* if for all inclusions $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ in Σ the map

$$A^\sigma \otimes_{A^\tau} C^\tau \longrightarrow C^\sigma , \tag{3.1}$$

adjoint to the structure map $C^\tau \longrightarrow C^\sigma$, is an isomorphism. We call C a *homotopy sheaf* if the map (3.1) is a quasi-isomorphism for all $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ in Σ .

Every strict sheaf is a homotopy sheaf. Important examples of strict sheaves are the functors $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ defined in §2.5.

3.1.2 Lemma. *The notion of a homotopy sheaf is homotopy invariant: Given a weak equivalence $C \longrightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$, the presheaf C is a homotopy sheaf if and only if D is a homotopy sheaf.*

Proof. For all $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ in Σ the monoid S_σ is obtained from S_τ by inverting an element of S_τ , cf. [Ful93, §2.1, Proposition 2], so that A_σ is a localisation of A_τ . Since localisation is exact both vertical maps in the following square diagram are quasi-isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^\sigma \otimes_{A^\tau} C^\tau & \longrightarrow & C^\sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A^\sigma \otimes_{A^\tau} D^\tau & \longrightarrow & D^\sigma \end{array}$$

This proves that the upper horizontal map is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if the lower horizontal map is a quasi-isomorphism. $\square$

3.1.3 Lemma. *Suppose we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow 0$$

of objects in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$. Then if two of the three presheaves B , C and D are homotopy sheaves, so is the third.

Proof. Let $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ be an inclusion of cones in Σ . Consider the following commutative ladder diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A^\sigma \otimes_{A^\tau} B^\tau & \longrightarrow & A^\sigma \otimes_{A^\tau} C^\tau & \longrightarrow & A^\sigma \otimes_{A^\tau} D^\tau & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B^\sigma & \longrightarrow & C^\sigma & \longrightarrow & D^\sigma & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The bottom row is exact by hypothesis. Since A^σ is a localisation of A^τ the top row is exact as well. Moreover, by hypothesis two of the vertical maps are quasi-isomorphisms. The five lemma, applied to the associated infinite ladder diagram of homology modules, guarantees that the third vertical map is a quasi-isomorphism as well. $\square$

Since a retract of a quasi-isomorphism is a quasi-isomorphism, we also have:

3.1.4 Lemma. *Suppose that C is a retract, in the category $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$, of the homotopy sheaf D . Then C is a homotopy sheaf.* $\square$

3.1.5 Proposition. *Let ρ be a 1-cone in Σ .*

- (1) *The restriction functor $\varepsilon: \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma/\rho)$, defined in §2.4.4, is a left QUILLEN functor with respect to the c -structure (2.3.3).*
- (2) *The functor ε preserves strict sheaves and f -cofibrant (2.3.1) homotopy sheaves.*

Proof. Part (1) is true since the right adjoint ζ of ε clearly preserves fibrations and acyclic fibrations in the c -structure.

For (2) suppose that $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is a strict sheaf. An inclusion of cones $\bar{\sigma} \subseteq \bar{\tau}$ in Σ/ρ corresponds to an inclusion of cones $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ in $\text{st}(\rho)$. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^\sigma & \longrightarrow & A^{\bar{\sigma}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A^\tau & \longrightarrow & A^{\bar{\tau}} \end{array}$$

then induces the top horizontal isomorphism in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes_{A^{\bar{\tau}}} \varepsilon(C)^{\bar{\tau}} = A^{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes_{A^{\bar{\tau}}} A^{\bar{\tau}} \otimes_{A^\tau} C^\tau & \xrightarrow{\cong} & A^{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes_{A^\sigma} A^\sigma \otimes_{A^\tau} C^\tau \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \varepsilon(C)^{\bar{\sigma}} & \xrightarrow{=} & A^{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes_{A^\sigma} C^\sigma \end{array} \quad (3.2)$$

The right vertical map is an isomorphism as C is a strict sheaf. Hence the left vertical map is an isomorphism as well, which proves that $\varepsilon(C)$ is a strict sheaf as claimed.

Now suppose that C is an f -cofibrant homotopy sheaf. We want to prove that $\varepsilon(C)$ is an f -cofibrant homotopy sheaf as well. Fix $\sigma \in \text{st}(\rho)$. Since C is f -cofibrant we know that C^σ is cofibrant in the category of A^σ -module chain complexes. Hence $\varepsilon(C)^{\bar{\sigma}} = A^{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes_{A^\sigma} C^\sigma$ is cofibrant in the category of $A^{\bar{\sigma}}$ -module chain complexes. As this is true for all $\sigma \in \text{st}(\rho)$ we know that $\varepsilon(C)$ is f -cofibrant. We are left to check that for all $\sigma \subseteq \tau$ in $\text{st}(\rho)$ the left vertical map in the diagram (3.2) is a weak equivalence. By hypothesis, the map $A^\sigma \otimes_{A^\tau} C^\tau \longrightarrow C^\sigma$ is a weak equivalence of cofibrant objects. Hence the right vertical map of diagram (3.2), obtained by base change, is a weak equivalence as well, proving the assertion. $\square$

3.2 Colocal objects and colocal equivalences

3.2.1 Notation. For $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell]$, cf. §2.5.1, denote the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ considered as a chain complex concentrated in chain degree ℓ . We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})$ the c -cofibrant replacement $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ with source consisting of bounded chain complexes of finitely generated free modules; more specifically, we use a mapping cylinder factorisation construction dual to the canonical path space factorisation discussed earlier. Note that $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell]$ then is a c -cofibrant replacement as well with source a strict sheaf in the sense of Definition 3.1.1.

For a given chain complex M of A -bimodules, we define the presheaf

$$M \otimes \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell]: \quad \sigma \mapsto M \otimes_A \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell]^\sigma,$$

and similarly for $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell]$. The resulting presheaves are in fact strict sheaves as is easily checked by inspection.

3.2.2 Definition. A map $f: C \longrightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is called an $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell]$ -colocal equivalence, cf. [Hir03, Definition 3.1.8 (1)], if the induced map

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell], C) \longrightarrow \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell], D)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence of simplicial sets. Here $NA[\Delta^\bullet]$ is the cosimplicial A -bimodule chain complex $n \mapsto NA[\Delta^n]$ with N the reduced chain complex functor.

3.2.3 Proposition. Fix $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. A map $f: C \longrightarrow D$ of objects in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is an $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell]$ -colocal equivalence if and only if the corresponding map of A -module chain complexes

$$\mathrm{holim} C(-\vec{k}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim} D(-\vec{k})$$

induces isomorphisms on homology in degrees $\geq \ell$.

Proof. Let $C \xrightarrow{\sim} PC$ denote the canonical f -fibrant replacement for C , cf. 1.2.2, and recall that $\mathrm{holim} C = \lim PC$. Similarly, we have a weak equivalence $D \xrightarrow{\sim} PD$. The map f induces a corresponding map $\tilde{f}: PC \longrightarrow PD$. Consider the huge diagram of Fig. 1. We claim that the vertical maps are weak equivalences or isomorphisms of simplicial sets as marked. We list the reasons for each of the squares:

Square 1: We know that $NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})$ is a cosimplicial resolution of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})$ with respect to the c -structure of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$, and that C , PC , D and PD are c -fibrant. It follows from [Hir03, Corollary 16.5.5 (2)] that the vertical maps are weak equivalences.

Square 2: This follows immediately from [Hir03, Corollary 16.5.5 (1)] since PC and PD are f -fibrant by construction, and since the map

$$NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell] \longrightarrow NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell]$$

is a REEDY weak equivalence of cosimplicial resolutions for the f -structure of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$.

Square 3: Use adjointness of tensor product and hom complex for each entry of the diagrams involved. Note that $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell]$ is a chain-complex with non-trivial entries in degree ℓ only.

Square 4: This uses the isomorphism of functors from Lemma 2.5.10.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell], C) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell], D) \\
\downarrow \sim & 1 & \downarrow \sim \\
\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell], PC) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_*} & \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell], PD) \\
\uparrow \sim & 2 & \uparrow \sim \\
\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell], PC) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_*} & \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell], PD) \\
\downarrow \cong & 3 & \downarrow \cong \\
\mathrm{hom}_{Ch_A}\left(NA[\Delta^\bullet], \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell], PC)\right) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_*} & \mathrm{hom}_{Ch_A}\left(NA[\Delta^\bullet], \underline{\mathrm{hom}}(\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell], PD)\right) \\
\downarrow \cong & 4 & \downarrow \cong \\
\mathrm{hom}_{Ch_A}\left(NA[\Delta^\bullet], \lim(PC)(-\vec{k})[-\ell]\right) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_*} & \mathrm{hom}_{Ch_A}\left(NA[\Delta^\bullet], \lim(PD)(-\vec{k})[-\ell]\right) \\
\downarrow \sim & 5 & \downarrow \sim \\
\mathrm{hom}_{Ch_A}\left(NA[\Delta^\bullet], \mathrm{holim} C(-\vec{k})[-\ell]\right) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_*} & \mathrm{hom}_{Ch_A}\left(NA[\Delta^\bullet], \mathrm{holim} D(-\vec{k})[-\ell]\right) \\
\downarrow = & 6 & \downarrow = \\
W(\mathrm{holim} C(-\vec{k})[-\ell]) & \xrightarrow{W(\mathrm{holim} f(-\vec{k}))} & W(\mathrm{holim} D(-\vec{k})[-\ell])
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: Diagram

Square 5: Recall that $C \longrightarrow PC$ is an f -fibrant replacement, hence so is its $(-\vec{k})$ th twist $C(-\vec{k}) \longrightarrow (PC)(-\vec{k})$ by Corollary 2.5.9. But

$$C(-\vec{k}) \longrightarrow P(C(-\vec{k}))$$

is another f -fibrant replacement, so we know that $(PC)(-\vec{k})$ and $P(C(-\vec{k}))$ are weakly equivalent. Since both objects are f -fibrant they are fibrant as diagrams of A -module chain complexes. In particular, application of the inverse limit functor yields weakly equivalent chain complexes. The left vertical map then is known to be a weak equivalence by [Hir03, Corollary 16.5.5 (1)], applied to the category Ch_A with the projective model structure; for the target, note that $\lim P(C(-\vec{k})) = \mathrm{holim} C(-\vec{k})$ by definition of homotopy limits.—A similar argument applies to the right vertical map.

Square 6: This is just the definition of the DOLD-KAN functor W .

In particular, f is an $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell]$ -colocal equivalence if and only if the top horizontal map f_* is a weak equivalence if and only if $W(\operatorname{holim} f(-\vec{k}))$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $\operatorname{holim} f(-\vec{k})[-\ell]$ is a quasi-isomorphism in non-negative degrees. $\square$

3.2.4 Definition. Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ be a non-empty subset.

- (1) A map $f \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is called an *R-colocal equivalence* if it is an $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell]$ -colocal equivalence in the sense of Definition 3.2.2 for all $\vec{k} \in R$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. In other words, f is an *R-colocal equivalence* if and only if it is a colocal equivalence in the sense of [Hir03, Definition 3.1.8 (1)] with respect to the set $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(R) := \{\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell] \mid \vec{k} \in R, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
- (2) An object $B \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is called *R-colocal* if it is $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(R)$ -colocal in the sense of [Hir03, Definition 3.1.8 (2)] with respect to the c -structure of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$; equivalently, if B is c -cofibrant and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(R)$ -cellular [Hir03, Theorem 5.1.5].

If the set R is understood we will drop it from the notation and simply speak of colocal equivalences and colocal objects.

More explicitly, a map $f: C \longrightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is an *R-colocal equivalence* if for all $\vec{k} \in R$ and all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ the map

$$\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell], C) \xrightarrow{f_*} \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell], D)$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. The object $B \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is *R-colocal* if it is c -cofibrant, and if for all *R-colocal* maps $f: C \longrightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ the map

$$\operatorname{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(\mathbf{B}, C) \longrightarrow \operatorname{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(\mathbf{B}, D)$$

is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets, where $\mathbf{B}$ denotes a cosimplicial resolution [Hir03, Definition 16.1.20 (1)] of B with respect to the c -structure of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$.

3.2.5 Corollary. *A map f in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is an $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\{\vec{k}\})$ -colocal equivalence if and only if $\operatorname{holim}(f(-\vec{k}))$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.2.3, together with the fact that a map g of chain complexes is a weak equivalence if and only if $W(g[\ell])$ is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. $\square$

3.3 Colocally acyclic objects

3.3.1 Definition. Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ be a non-empty subset. An object $B \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is called *R-colocally acyclic* if the unique map $B \longrightarrow 0$ is an *R-colocal equivalence*. If the set R is understood we will drop it from the notation and simply speak of colocally acyclic objects.

3.3.2 Notation. (1) For a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ let $\vec{\sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ denote the vector whose ρ -component is 1 if $\rho \subseteq \sigma$, and is 0 otherwise. Note that the zero-cone corresponds to the zero-vector.

(2) Similarly, we write $-\vec{\sigma}$ for the vector whose ρ -component is -1 if $\rho \subseteq \sigma$, and is 0 otherwise.

3.3.3 Construction. To the regular fan Σ we associate a finite set $R_\Sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ as follows:

- (1) If Σ has a unique inclusion-maximal cone (so X_Σ is affine), we set $R_\Sigma := \{\vec{0}\}$. This covers the unique fan in $\mathbb{R}^0$ as a special case.
- (2) Suppose that Σ does not have a unique inclusion-maximal cone. Let $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$ be a 1-cone. We consider $\mathbb{Z}^{(\Sigma/\rho)(1)}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ in the following way: A 1-cone $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma/\rho$ corresponds to a 2-cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$ which contains exactly two 1-cones: The cone ρ and a cone $\tau \neq \rho$. We identify the $\bar{\sigma}$ -component of $\mathbb{Z}^{(\Sigma/\rho)(1)}$ with the τ -component of $\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$. All other components will be set to 0.—Using this identification, we set

$$R_\Sigma := \bigcup_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} R_{\Sigma/\rho} \cup \bigcup_{\rho \in \Sigma(1)} (\vec{\rho} + R_{\Sigma/\rho})$$

where $\vec{\rho} + R_{\Sigma/\rho} = \{\vec{\rho} + \vec{k} \mid \vec{k} \in R_{\Sigma/\rho}\}$. Note that $R_{\Sigma/\rho}$ is defined by induction on the dimension of Σ .

3.3.4 Example. If Σ is complete then $R_\Sigma = \{\vec{\sigma} \mid \sigma \in \Sigma\}$.

3.3.5 Proposition. *If $C \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is an R_Σ -colocally acyclic c -cofibrant homotopy sheaf on X_Σ , then $C \simeq 0$ in the c -structure (i.e., all complexes C^σ are acyclic). See 3.3.3 for a definition of R_Σ .*

Proof. The statement is true if the fan Σ contains a unique inclusion-maximal cone μ (so $X_\Sigma = U_\mu$ is affine). Indeed, by Remark 1.2.4 we have a quasi-isomorphism $C^\mu \longrightarrow \text{holim}(C)$. If C is R_Σ -colocally acyclic, then $\text{holim}(C) \simeq 0$ (since $\vec{0} \in R_\Sigma$), hence $C^\mu \simeq 0$. Since C is a homotopy sheaf, this implies that all its components $C^\tau \simeq A^\tau \otimes_{A^\mu} C^\mu$ are acyclic as well.—In particular, the Proposition is true for the unique fan in $\mathbb{R}^0$.

If Σ does not contain a unique inclusion-maximal cone, we proceed by induction on the dimension.

Induction hypothesis: The theorem holds for objects of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Delta)$ for all regular fans Δ with $\dim \Delta < \dim \Sigma = n$.

Step 1: The map $C(-\vec{\rho}) \longrightarrow C(\vec{0}) \cong C$ is a weak equivalence for each $\rho \in \Sigma(1)$. Fix a 1-cone $\rho \in \Sigma$, and fix $\vec{k} \in R_{\Sigma/\rho} \subset R_\Sigma$, the inclusion of sets as explained in Construction 3.3.3 (2). Then $\vec{\rho} + \vec{k} \in R_\Sigma$ by construction.

The inclusion $\mathcal{O}(-(\vec{\rho} + \vec{k})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{k})$ induces a short exact sequence of objects in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$

$$0 \longrightarrow C(-(\vec{\rho} + \vec{k})) \xrightarrow{i} C(-\vec{k}) \longrightarrow Q(-\vec{k}) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.3)$$

If σ is a cone not containing ρ then all components of the vectors $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{\rho} + \vec{k}$ corresponding to 1-cones in $\sigma(1)$ vanish. Hence the σ -component of the inclusion i is the identity, so that $Q(-\vec{k})^\sigma = 0$ in this case.

From the above sequence we obtain a short exact sequence of A -module chain complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim} C(-(\vec{\rho} + \vec{k})) \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim} C(-\vec{k}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim} Q(-\vec{k}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now since $C \longrightarrow 0$ is an R_Σ -colocal equivalence by hypothesis, Corollary 3.2.5 (applied to the vectors $\vec{k}$ and $\vec{\rho} + \vec{k}$ in R_Σ) yields that

$$\operatorname{holim} C(-(\vec{\rho} + \vec{k})) \simeq 0 \simeq \operatorname{holim} C(-\vec{k}).$$

We conclude that $\operatorname{holim} Q(-\vec{k}) \simeq 0$ as well.

From Proposition 2.5.6 it is easy to conclude that $Q(-\vec{k}) = \zeta(\varepsilon(C(-\vec{k})))$ is nothing but the extension by zero of the restriction $C(-\vec{k})|_{V_\rho}$ of $C(-\vec{k})$ to $V_\rho = X_{\Sigma/\rho}$. Since twisting commutes with restriction, $Q(-\vec{k})$ could equally be described as the extension by zero of the $(-\vec{k})$ th twist of the restriction $C|_{V_\rho}$.

In other words, we have shown that for all $\vec{k} \in R_{\Sigma/\rho}$ the chain complex $\operatorname{holim}_{(\Sigma/\rho)^{\text{op}}} C|_{V_\rho}(-\vec{k})$ is acyclic where we have used Lemma 2.4.3 to restrict to the smaller indexing category $\text{st}(\rho)^{\text{op}}$ in the homotopy limit. From Corollary 3.2.5 we infer that the map $C|_{V_\rho} \longrightarrow *$ in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma/\rho)$ is an $R_{\Sigma/\rho}$ -colocal equivalence. But by the induction hypothesis we then know that $C|_{V_\rho} \simeq 0$. Since $Q(\vec{0}) = \zeta(C|_{V_\rho})$ this implies that $Q(\vec{0}) \simeq 0$. From the short exact sequence (3.3), applied to $\vec{k} = \vec{0} \in R_{\Sigma/\rho}$ we then see that the map $C(-\vec{\rho}) \longrightarrow C(\vec{0}) \cong C$ is a weak equivalence as claimed.

Step 2: All the structure maps $C^\sigma \longrightarrow C^\tau$ of C are quasi-isomorphisms. Let $\tau \subset \sigma$ be a codimension-1 inclusion of cones in Σ . Let ρ denote the unique 1-cone contained in $\sigma \setminus \tau$. We want to identify the σ -component of the first map in the sequence (3.3) for $\vec{k} = \vec{0}$: By definition, it is the natural inclusion map

$$\mathcal{O}(-\vec{\rho})^\sigma \otimes_{A^\sigma} C^\sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\vec{0})^\sigma \otimes_{A^\sigma} C^\sigma \cong C^\sigma. \quad (3.4)$$

Since Σ is regular we can choose $f \in M$ such that f vanishes on the primitive generators of τ , and such that f takes the value 1 on the primitive generator of ρ . Then $f \in S_\sigma$, and there is an isomorphism of A^σ -modules $\mathcal{O}(\vec{0})^\sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(-\vec{\rho})^\sigma$ described by $b \mapsto b + f$ on elements of the canonical A -basis. We can thus rewrite the map (3.4) up to isomorphism as $C^\sigma \xrightarrow{f} C^\sigma$.

The module chain complex $A^\tau \otimes_{A^\sigma} C^\sigma$ is obtained from C^σ by inverting the action of the element f (Lemma 2.5.3), *i.e.*, by forming the colimit of the sequence

$$C^\sigma \xrightarrow{f} C^\sigma \xrightarrow{f} C^\sigma \xrightarrow{f} \dots$$

Now f acts by quasi-isomorphism on C^σ by the results of Step 1; indeed, as just seen above f is the σ -component of the weak equivalence $C(-\vec{\rho}) \longrightarrow C(\vec{0}) \cong C$. Hence the canonical map $C^\sigma \longrightarrow A^\tau \otimes_{A^\sigma} C^\sigma$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Since C is a homotopy sheaf, the map $A^\tau \otimes_{A^\sigma} C^\sigma \longrightarrow C^\tau$ is a quasi-isomorphism. The combination of these two statements shows that the structure map $C^\sigma \longrightarrow C^\tau$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

As any inclusion of cones in Σ can be written as a sequence of codimension-1 inclusions, it follows that all structure maps of C are quasi-isomorphisms as claimed.

Step 3: All entries of the diagram C are acyclic. Write $\text{con}(B)$ for the constant Σ^{op} -diagram with value B . Fix a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. The structure maps of C assemble to maps of diagram

$$C \longrightarrow \text{con}(C^{\{0\}}) \longleftarrow \text{con}(C^\sigma) ;$$

both these maps are weak equivalences of diagrams of A -module chain complexes by Step 2. Application of the homotopy limit functor gives a chain of quasi-isomorphisms (we use Lemma 1.2.5 in the last step)

$$\text{holim } C \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{holim } \text{con}(C^{\{0\}}) \xleftarrow{\sim} \text{holim } \text{con}(C^\sigma) \simeq C^\sigma .$$

But since $\vec{0} \in R_\Sigma$ we know by Corollary 3.2.5 that $\text{holim } C \simeq 0$, so $C^\sigma \simeq 0$ as required. $\square$

3.4 Homotopy sheaves as cofibrant objects

3.4.1 Proposition. (Colocal model structure of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$) *Let $R \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$. The category $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ has a model structure, called the R -colocal model structure, where a map f is a weak equivalence if and only if it is an R -colocal equivalence (Definition 3.2.4), and a fibration if and only if it is a fibration in the c -structure of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$. The model structure is right proper, and every object is fibrant.*

Proof. This is [Hir03, Theorem 5.1.1], applied to the c -structure of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$. $\square$

3.4.2 Theorem. *Let $R_\Sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ denote the finite set specified in Construction 3.3.3.*

- (1) *If C is an R_Σ -colocal object of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$, then C is a c -cofibrant homotopy sheaf.*

(2) If C is a c -cofibrant homotopy sheaf on X_Σ , then C is R_Σ -colocal.

Proof. We consider the category $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ equipped with the R_Σ -colocal model structure of Proposition 3.4.1.

Part (1) follows from the description of colocal objects in the general theory of right BOUSFIELD localisation. We have to introduce some auxiliary notation and results first.

Recall that the c -structure of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ has a set

$$J_c = \{F_\tau(0 \longrightarrow D_n(A)) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tau \in \Sigma\}$$

of generating cofibrations as specified in Lemma 2.3.4. Since the chain complexes $D_n(A)$ are acyclic so are all the entries in the diagrams $F_\tau(D_n(A))$. Consequently, all maps in J_c are injective maps of homotopy sheaves, and their cofibres are homotopy sheaves.

The set

$$\Lambda(R_\Sigma) := \{L_n(NA[\Delta^\bullet]) \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k}) \longrightarrow NA[\Delta^n] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k}) \mid \vec{k} \in R_\Sigma\}$$

is a full set of horns on $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(R_\Sigma)$ in the sense of [Hir03, Definition 5.2.1]; here N denotes the reduced chain complex functor as usual. This follows from the fact that $NA[\Delta^\bullet] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})$ is a cosimplicial resolution of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})$ by Lemma 2.3.5. Note that $\Lambda(R_\Sigma)$ is a set of injective maps of homotopy sheaves; the cofibres are the objects

$$NA[\Delta^n / \partial \Delta^n] \otimes \hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k}) \quad n \geq 0, \vec{k} \in R_\Sigma$$

which are homotopy sheaves as well.

Now suppose that C an R_Σ -colocal object of $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$. From [Hir03, Corollary 5.3.7] we know that C is a retract of a c -cofibrant object $X \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ which admits a weak equivalence $X \xrightarrow{\sim} Y$ to an object $Y \in \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ which is a cell complex with respect to the maps in $J_c \cup \Lambda(R_\Sigma)$. Since the cofibres of all the maps in this set are homotopy sheaves as observed above, it follows from (transfinite) induction on the number of cells in Y that the presheaf Y is a homotopy sheaf. The induction step works as follows: Suppose that $f: A \longrightarrow B$ is an injective map of presheaves such that its cofibre B/A is a homotopy sheaf, and suppose that Z is a homotopy sheaf. Then there is a short exact sequence in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$

$$0 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow Z \cup_A B \longrightarrow B/A \longrightarrow 0$$

where Z and B/A are homotopy sheaves. It follows from Lemma 3.1.3 that $Z \cup_A B$ is a homotopy sheaf as well.

Since Y is a homotopy sheaf so is the presheaf X by Lemma 3.1.2; consequently, its retract C is a homotopy sheaf as well (Lemma 3.1.4).

Part (2): Let $\tilde{Y} \xrightarrow{\sim \text{co}} C$ be a cofibrant replacement with respect to the colocal model structure, constructed by factorising the map $0 \longrightarrow C$ as a

colocally acyclic cofibration followed by a c -fibration. Then Y is R -colocal. We will show that the map $Y \xrightarrow{\sim \text{co}} C$ is a weak equivalence (in the c -structure); then C is colocal as well by [Hir03, Proposition 3.2.2 (2)].

The map $Y \xrightarrow{\sim \text{co}} C$ is a c -fibration, hence surjective. We thus have a short exact sequence of objects in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{K} \longrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\sim \text{co}} C \longrightarrow 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The map $\tilde{K} \longrightarrow 0$ is the pullback of $Y \xrightarrow{\sim \text{co}} C$, so $\tilde{K} \longrightarrow 0$ is a colocally acyclic fibration, hence $\tilde{K}$ is colocally acyclic. By considering the long exact homology sequence associated to (3.5) we are reduced to showing $\tilde{K} \simeq 0$. Let $K \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{K}$ denote a c -cofibrant replacement. It is enough to prove that $K \simeq 0$. Note that K is R_Σ -colocally acyclic since $\tilde{K}$ is so, and since every weak equivalence is a colocal equivalence [Hir03, Proposition 3.1.5].

By hypothesis and part (1), both Y and C are homotopy sheaves. Hence $\tilde{K}$, being the kernel of a surjection $Y \longrightarrow C$, is a homotopy sheaf as well by Lemma 3.1.3. Consequently, K is a c -cofibrant homotopy sheaf which satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 3.3.5 which proves $K \simeq 0$ as required. $\square$

3.4.3 Corollary. *Let $R_\Sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ denote the finite set specified in Construction 3.3.3. Let $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ be a map of homotopy sheaves. Then f is a weak equivalence if and only if the induced map of chain complexes*

$$\text{holim} f(-\vec{k}): \text{holim} X(-\vec{k}) \longrightarrow \text{holim} Y(-\vec{k})$$

is a quasi-isomorphism for all $\vec{k} \in R_\Sigma$.

Proof. By c -cofibrant approximation and lifting, we can construct a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^c & \xrightarrow{f^c} & Y^c \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array} \quad (3.6)$$

where both vertical maps are weak equivalences, and with c -cofibrant presheaves X^c and Y^c . Then f is a weak equivalence if and only if f^c is. Now f^c is a map of R_Σ -colocal objects by Lemma 3.1.2 and Theorem 3.4.2. Hence f^c is a weak equivalence if and only if f^c is an R_Σ -colocal map [Hir03, Theorem 3.2.13 (2)]. By Corollary 3.2.5 this is equivalent to saying that the map $\text{holim} f^c(-\vec{k})$ is a quasi-isomorphism for all $\vec{k} \in R_\Sigma$. However, since holim and twisting both preserve weak equivalences, this is equivalent, in view of diagram (3.6) above, to the condition that $\text{holim} f(-\vec{k})$ is a quasi-isomorphism for all $\vec{k} \in R_\Sigma$. $\square$

4 The derived category

Our next goal is to prove that for a large class of schemes the (unbounded) derived category of quasi-coherent sheaves can be obtained as the homotopy category of homotopy sheaves.

The material in this section will apply to any regular toric scheme X defined over a commutative ring A ; more generally, it will be enough to assume that X is a scheme equipped with a finite semi-separating cover [TT90, §B.7] as specified in Definition 4.1.1 below. Then the categories of chain complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves on U_σ and X , respectively, admit the *injective model structure* with cofibrations the levelwise injective maps, and the categories of chain complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves on U_σ admit the *projective model structure* with fibrations the levelwise surjective maps. Finally, all the inclusions $U_\sigma \subseteq X$ are affine maps and hence induce exact push-forward functors.

4.1 Coverings indexed by a fan

4.1.1 Definition. Let A be a commutative ring, let Σ be a finite fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, and let X be an A -scheme. A collection $(U_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ of open subschemes of X is called a Σ -*covering* if $\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} U_\sigma = X$, and if for all $\tau, \sigma \in \Sigma$ we have $U_\tau \cap U_\sigma = U_{\tau \cap \sigma}$. If all the U_σ are affine, we call $(U_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ an *affine Σ -covering*.

If the A -scheme X admits an affine Σ -covering, for some finite fan Σ , then X is necessarily quasi-compact and semi-separated [TT90, §B.7], hence in particular quasi-separated. These facts are relevant as they guarantee the existence of certain model category structures, cf. §4.3.

4.1.2 Example. Every quasi-compact separated scheme X admits an affine Σ -covering for some fan Σ . Indeed, let $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_n$ be an open affine cover of X . Let Σ denote the usual fan of n -dimensional projective space, described as follows. Let $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ denote the unit vectors of $\mathbb{R}^n$, set $e_0 = -e_1 - e_2 - \dots - e_n$, and define $M := \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Then Σ is the collection of cones

$$\sigma_E = \text{cone}\left(\{e_i \mid i \in E\}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

for *proper* subsets $E \subset M$. Given such a set E define $U_{\sigma_E} := \bigcap_{i \in M \setminus E} U_i$; these intersections are affine since X is separated. Then $(U_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ is an affine Σ -covering of X by construction.

More generally, if X is quasi-compact, and the sets $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_n$ form a semi-separating covering of X , the above construction provides an affine Σ -covering for X .

4.2 Sheaves and homotopy sheaves

From now on we will assume that A is a commutative ring, that Σ is a finite fan in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, and that X is an A -scheme equipped with an affine Σ -covering

$(U_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ (Definition 4.1.1); *a fortiori*, X is quasi-compact and semi-separated.

For any open subscheme $Y \subseteq X$ we write $\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(Y)$ for the category of quasi-coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules, and $\mathrm{Ch}\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(Y)$ for the category of (possibly unbounded) chain complexes in $\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(Y)$.—In what follows we will consider a presheaf to have values in the categories $\mathrm{Ch}\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$ rather than in chain complexes of modules:

4.2.1 Definition. The category $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ of presheaves on X is the category of Σ^{op} -diagrams C which assign to each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ an object $C^\sigma \in \mathrm{Ch}\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$, and to each inclusion $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ in Σ a map $C^\sigma|_{U_\tau} \longrightarrow C^\tau$, which is the identity for $\tau = \sigma$, subject to the condition that for $\nu \subseteq \tau \subseteq \sigma$ in Σ the composition

$$C^\sigma|_{U_\nu} = (C^\sigma|_{U_\tau})|_{U_\nu} \longrightarrow (C^\tau)|_{U_\nu} \longrightarrow C^\nu$$

coincides with the structure map corresponding to the inclusion $\nu \subseteq \sigma$.

The category $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is another example of a twisted diagram category in the sense of [HR, §2.2], formed with respect to the adjunction bundle

$$\Sigma^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}, \quad \sigma \mapsto \mathrm{Ch}\mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$$

and structural adjunctions given by restriction (the left adjoints) and push-forward along inclusions. We can thus appeal to the general machinery of twisted diagrams again to equip $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ with various model structures.

We define the notions of strict and homotopy sheaves for $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ in analogy to Definition 2.2.1:

4.2.2 Definition. Given an object $C \in \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ we call C a *strict sheaf* if for all inclusions $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ in Σ the structure map $C^\sigma|_{U_\tau} \longrightarrow C^\tau$ is an isomorphism; we call C a *homotopy sheaf* if for all inclusions $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ in Σ the structure map $C^\sigma|_{U_\tau} \longrightarrow C^\tau$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

4.2.3 Remark. Since restriction to the open subset U_σ is an exact functor, Lemmas 3.1.2, 3.1.3 and 3.1.4 apply *mutatis mutandis*. That is, if $f: C \longrightarrow D$ is a map in $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ which is a quasi-isomorphism on each U_σ , we know that C is a homotopy sheaf if and only if D is a homotopy sheaf. Moreover, the class of homotopy sheaves is closed under kernels, cokernels, extensions, and retracts.

4.2.4 Remark. In the case of a toric scheme the categories $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ (Definition 2.2.1) and $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ codify the same information. Recall that for an affine scheme $U = \mathrm{Spec}(B)$ the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on U is equivalent, via the exact global sections functor, to the category of B -modules. Consequently, if $X = X_\Sigma$ is a regular toric scheme with fan Σ , the functor

$$\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma), \quad C \mapsto \left(\sigma \mapsto \Gamma(C; U_\sigma) \right)$$

is an equivalence of categories. It maps strict sheaves to strict sheaves, and homotopy sheaves to homotopy sheaves.

The difference between $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ and $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is of a purely technical nature; the choice of which category to use is mostly dictated by convenience rather than necessity. Our previous results on homotopy sheaves and colocalisation thus apply *mutatis mutandis* for a regular toric scheme X_Σ .

4.3 Model structures

For every quasi-separated and quasi-compact scheme Y the category $\mathfrak{Qco}(Y)$ of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module sheaves is a GROTHENDIECK ABELIAN category [TT90, §B.3] which, in particular, satisfies axiom AB5 (“filtered colimits are exact”). It is well-known [Hov01] that therefore the category $\mathbf{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(Y)$ of (possibly unbounded) chain complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves on Y admits the *injective model structure* with weak equivalences the quasi-isomorphisms, and cofibrations the levelwise injections.

Since a semi-separated scheme is automatically quasi-separated, and quasi-separatedness is stable under passage to open subschemes, this applies to our scheme X as well as to all the covering sets U_σ .

The full subcategory of $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ spanned by the strict sheaves is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(X)$ of (unbounded) chain complexes of quasi-coherent sheaves on X_Σ . Its derived category $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X))$ can be obtained as the homotopy category of the injective model structure of $\mathbf{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(X)$ described above.

4.3.1 Lemma. *Let $U \subseteq X$ be an open subset. The functor*

$$\mathbf{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(X) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(U), \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}|_U$$

is a left QUILLEN functor with right adjoint given by push-forward along the inclusion $U \longrightarrow X$. (Here we equip $\mathbf{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(U)$ with the injective model structure as well.)

Proof. This follows from the fact that restriction to open subsets is exact, hence preserves weak equivalences (quasi-isomorphisms) and cofibrations (injections). $\square$

4.3.2 Lemma. *The category $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ has a model structure where a map is a weak equivalence if it is an objectwise quasi-isomorphism, and a cofibration if it is objectwise and levelwise injective.*

Proof. This is the f -structure of [HR, Theorem 3.3.5], based on the injective model structure of the categories $\mathbf{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(U_\sigma)$. $\square$

Fibrations in this model structure can be characterised using *matching complexes*: Given $C \in \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma$ define $M^\sigma C = \lim_{\tau \subseteq \sigma} i_*^\tau(C^\tau)$ where $i^\tau: U_\tau \subseteq U_\sigma$ is the inclusion, and the limit is taken over all $\tau \in \Sigma$ strictly contained in σ . Then $f: C \longrightarrow D$ is a fibration if and only if for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the induced map

$$C^\sigma \longrightarrow M^\sigma C \times_{M^\sigma D} D^\sigma \quad (4.1)$$

is a fibration in the category $\mathbf{Ch} \mathfrak{Qco}(U_\sigma)$.—If f is a fibration then in particular all the components $f^\sigma: C^\sigma \longrightarrow D^\sigma$ are fibrations in their respective categories.

4.4 Strictifying homotopy sheaves

Now consider the “constant diagram” functor, defined by

$$\Phi: \mathbf{Ch} \mathfrak{Qco}(X) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma), \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto \left(\sigma \mapsto \mathcal{F}|_{U_\sigma} \right).$$

With respect to the model structure of Lemma 4.3.2 the functor Φ is left QUILLEN (by exactness of restriction to open subsets) with right adjoint given by

$$\Xi: \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Ch} \mathfrak{Qco}(X), \quad C \mapsto \lim_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{\text{op}}} j_*^\sigma(C^\sigma)$$

where the $j^\sigma: U_\sigma \longrightarrow X$ are the various inclusion maps. By construction we have canonical maps $\Xi(C) \longrightarrow j_*^\sigma C^\sigma$ which give rise, upon restriction to U_σ , to maps

$$r_\sigma: (\Xi(C))|_{U_\sigma} \longrightarrow (j_*^\sigma C^\sigma)|_{U_\sigma} = C^\sigma.$$

These maps are natural in σ in the sense that for each inclusion $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ of cones in Σ the map r_τ equals the composite map

$$\Xi(C)|_{U_\tau} = (\Xi(C)|_{U_\sigma})|_{U_\tau} \xrightarrow{r_\sigma|_{U_\tau}} C^\sigma|_{U_\tau} \longrightarrow C^\tau. \quad (4.2)$$

In other words, the maps r_σ assemble to a map of presheaves

$$r: \Phi \circ \Xi(C) \longrightarrow C$$

which is the counit of the adjunction of Φ and Ξ .

Recall that an object $C \in \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is a homotopy sheaf (Definition 4.2.2) if the structure maps $C^\sigma|_{U_\tau} \longrightarrow C^\tau$ are quasi-isomorphisms for all inclusions $\tau \subseteq \sigma$ in Σ . The following Lemma shows how the functor Ξ can be used to strictify homotopy sheaves, *i.e.*, how to replace a homotopy sheaf by weakly equivalent strict sheaf:

4.4.1 Lemma. *Every homotopy sheaf $\bar{C} \in \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ is weakly equivalent to a strict sheaf. More precisely, let $C \xleftarrow{\simeq} \bar{C}$ denote a fibrant replacement. Then for each $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the canonical map*

$$r_\sigma: \Xi(C)|_{U_\sigma} \longrightarrow C^\sigma$$

is a quasi-isomorphism in $\mathrm{Ch} \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$. In other words, we have a chain of weak equivalences of homotopy sheaves

$$\Phi \circ \Xi(C) \xrightarrow[r]{\simeq} C \xleftarrow[\simeq]{} \bar{C}$$

where $\Phi \circ \Xi(C)$ is, in fact, a strict sheaf.

Proof. First note that C , being weakly equivalent to the homotopy sheaf $\bar{C}$, is a homotopy sheaf by Remark 4.2.3.

We have to prove that the map $r_\sigma: \Xi(C)|_{U_\sigma} \longrightarrow C^\sigma$ is a weak equivalence in the category $\mathrm{Ch} \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$. In fact, it is enough to prove the claim for all maximal cones σ : Given any $\tau \in \Sigma$ choose a maximal cone σ containing τ . By (4.2), the map r_τ then is the composition of the restriction of the weak equivalence r_σ to U_τ with the structure map $C^\sigma|_{U_\tau} \longrightarrow C^\tau$. The latter is a quasi-isomorphism since C is a homotopy sheaf, the former is a quasi-isomorphism since restriction is exact. Hence r_τ is a weak equivalence.

So let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be a maximal cone. We want to show that the top horizontal map $t = r_\sigma$ in the following diagram is a weak equivalence (where $j^\tau: U_\tau \longrightarrow X$ denotes the inclusion map as before):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Xi(C))|_{U_\sigma} = \lim_{\tau \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{op}}} (j_*^\tau C^\tau)|_{U_\sigma} & \xrightarrow{t} & \lim_{\tau \subseteq \sigma} (j_*^\tau C^\tau)|_{U_\sigma} \cong C^\sigma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \lim_{\tau \neq \sigma} (j_*^\tau C^\tau)|_{U_\sigma} & \xrightarrow{h} & \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} (j_*^\tau C^\tau)|_{U_\sigma} \end{array} \quad (4.3)$$

The diagram is cartesian: It arises from first re-writing the limit defining $\Xi(C)$ as a pullback of limits indexed over smaller categories, then applying the exact restriction functor $(\cdot)|_{U_\sigma}$. Moreover, the map p is a fibration since C is a fibrant object; indeed, p is nothing but the map (4.1) corresponding to $\sigma \in \Sigma$ for the map $C \longrightarrow 0$. Hence by right properness of the injective model structure of $\mathrm{Ch} \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$ it is enough to show that the lower horizontal map h is a weak equivalence.

For $\nu \subseteq \sigma$ let $i^\nu: U_\nu \longrightarrow U_\sigma$ and $j^\nu: U_\nu \longrightarrow X$ denote the inclusions. Then we have an equality

$$(j_*^\nu(\mathcal{F}))|_{U_\sigma} = i_*^\nu(\mathcal{F}) \quad \text{for } \mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\nu), \quad (4.4)$$

and if $\tau \supseteq \nu$ is another cone,

$$(j_*^\tau(\mathcal{G}))|_{U_\sigma} = i_*^\nu(\mathcal{G})|_{U_\nu} \quad \text{for } \mathcal{G} \in \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\tau). \quad (4.5)$$

We embed the map h of diagram (4.3) above into the larger diagram (4.6) below. We have used (4.4) for the upper vertical map on the right, and (4.5) for

the upper vertical map on the left (recall also that restriction and push forward are exact functors, hence commute with finite limits). The map f is induced by the structure maps $C^\tau|_{U_{\tau \cap \sigma}} \longrightarrow C^{\tau \cap \sigma}$ of C .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \lim_{\tau \neq \sigma} (j_*^\tau C^\tau)|_{U_\sigma} & \xrightarrow{h} & \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} (j_*^\tau C^\tau)|_{U_\sigma} \\
 \downarrow = & & \downarrow = \\
 \lim_{\tau \neq \sigma} i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma} (C^\tau|_{U_{\tau \cap \sigma}}) & \longrightarrow & \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} i_*^\tau (C^\tau) \\
 \downarrow \simeq f & & \downarrow = \\
 \lim_{\tau \neq \sigma} i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma} (C^{\tau \cap \sigma}) & \xrightarrow[g]{} & \lim_{\tau \subset \sigma} i_*^\tau (C^\tau)
 \end{array} \tag{4.6}$$

The map g is easily seen to be an isomorphism: In the diagram $\tau \mapsto i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma} (C^{\tau \cap \sigma})$ all structure maps corresponding to the inclusions $\tau \cap \sigma \subseteq \tau$ are isomorphisms, hence all terms with $\tau \not\subseteq \sigma$ are redundant when forming the limit, and the map g is given by forgetting the redundant terms.

We are thus reduced to showing that the map f is a quasi-isomorphism which will follow from an application of BROWN's Lemma [DS95, dual of Lemma 9.9].

We need some preliminary remarks. Recall that since U_σ is affine, say $U_\sigma = \text{Spec } A_\sigma$, the category $\text{Ch } \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$ is equivalent to the category of A_σ -modules. Hence $\text{Ch } \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$ is equivalent to the category $\text{Ch } A_\sigma$, which implies that we can equip the category $\text{Ch } \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$ with the *projective model structure*: Fibrations are the levelwise surjective maps, and weak equivalences are the quasi-isomorphisms. A cofibration in the projective model structure turns out to be levelwise injective (even levelwise split injective), but this condition does not characterise cofibrations.

We will denote the category of functors $(\Sigma \setminus \{\sigma\})^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ch } \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)$ by

$$\mathcal{C} := \text{Fun}((\Sigma \setminus \{\sigma\})^{\text{op}}, \mathfrak{Q}\mathfrak{co}(U_\sigma)) .$$

The category $\mathcal{C}$ carries a model structure where a map is a weak equivalence (*resp.*, cofibration) if and only if it is an objectwise weak equivalence (*resp.*, cofibration in the projective model structure). A diagram $D \in \mathcal{C}$ is fibrant if and only if for all $\nu \in \Sigma \setminus \{\sigma\}$ the map

$$D^\nu \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subset \nu} D^\tau$$

is a fibration in the projective model structure (*i.e.*, is levelwise surjective), the limit taken over all cones $\tau \in \Sigma \setminus \sigma$ strictly contained in ν .

With respect to the projective model structure of $\mathrm{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(U_\sigma)$ the inverse limit functor

$$\lim: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(U_\sigma), \quad D \mapsto \lim_{\Sigma \setminus \{\sigma\}^{\mathrm{op}}} (D)$$

is right QUILLEN with left adjoint given by the constant diagram functor

$$\Delta: \mathrm{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(U_\sigma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}, \quad C \mapsto \left(\Delta(C): \tau \mapsto C \right);$$

note that Δ preserves weak equivalences and cofibrations as these notions are defined objectwise in $\mathcal{C}$. Thus, using BROWN's Lemma [DS95, dual of Lemma 9.9], we know that *if f is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{C}$ with source and target fibrant diagrams, then $\lim(f)$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathrm{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(U_\sigma)$.*

We will apply this last observation to the map f in the diagram (4.6): We know that f is a weak equivalence provided we can verify the following three assertions:

- (1) The natural transformation of diagrams defining f consists of weak equivalences (quasi-isomorphisms)
- (2) The diagram $\tau \mapsto i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^\tau|_{U_{\tau \cap \sigma}})$ (the source of f) is a fibrant object of $\mathcal{C}$
- (3) The diagram $\tau \mapsto i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^{\tau \cap \sigma})$ (the target of f) is a fibrant object of $\mathcal{C}$

Assertion (1) is easy to verify. The map f is induced by the structure maps $C^\tau|_{U_{\tau \cap \sigma}} \longrightarrow C^{\tau \cap \sigma}$ which are weak equivalences since C is a homotopy sheaf by hypothesis?; note also that the functor $i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}$ is exact since the inclusion $U_{\tau \cap \sigma} \subseteq U_\sigma$ is affine.

For assertion (2) we have to verify that for each $\nu \in \Sigma \setminus \sigma$ the map

$$i_*^{\nu \cap \sigma}(C^\nu|_{U_{\nu \cap \sigma}}) \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subseteq \nu} i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^\tau|_{U_{\tau \cap \sigma}}) \quad (4.7)$$

is levelwise surjective. By hypothesis C is a fibrant object (Lemma 4.3.2) of $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$, so the map

$$C^\nu \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subseteq \nu} k_*^\tau(C^\tau)$$

(with k^τ being the inclusion $U_\tau \subseteq U_\nu$) is a fibration in the injective model structure of $\mathrm{Ch}\mathfrak{Qco}(U_\nu)$; in particular, this map is levelwise surjective. Since restriction to open subsets is exact, it follows that the map

$$C^\nu|_{U_{\nu \cap \sigma}} \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subseteq \nu} (k_*^\tau(C^\tau))|_{\nu \cap \sigma} = \lim_{\tau \subseteq \nu} \ell_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^\tau|_{U_{\tau \cap \sigma}})$$

is levelwise surjective, where now $\ell^{\tau \cap \sigma}$ denotes the inclusion $U_{\tau \cap \sigma} \subseteq U_{\nu \cap \sigma}$. We can now apply the exact functor $i_*^{\nu \cap \sigma}$; since $i_*^{\nu \cap \sigma} \circ \ell_*^{\tau \cap \sigma} = i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}$ we conclude that the map (4.7) is levelwise surjective as claimed.

We now discuss assertion (3). We have to show that for each $\nu \in \Sigma \setminus \sigma$ the map

$$i_*^{\nu \cap \sigma}(C^{\nu \cap \sigma}) \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subset \nu} i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^{\tau \cap \sigma}) \quad (4.8)$$

is levelwise surjective (where $i^\mu: U_\mu \longrightarrow U_\sigma$ as before).

Consider the diagram

$$D: \{\tau \subset \nu\}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ch } \mathfrak{Qco}(U_\sigma), \quad \tau \mapsto i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^{\tau \cap \sigma}),$$

its limit being the target of the map (4.8). If $\nu \subset \sigma$ then the map (4.8) arises by application of the exact functor $i_*^\nu = i_*^{\nu \cap \sigma}$ to the map

$$C^\nu = C^{\nu \cap \sigma} \longrightarrow \lim_{\tau \subset \nu} \ell_*^\tau C^\tau \quad (4.9)$$

where $\ell^\tau: U_\tau \longrightarrow U_\nu = U_{\nu \cap \sigma}$ is the inclusion map. Now C is a fibrant object of $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ by hypothesis, so (4.9) is a fibration in the injective model structure, hence levelwise surjective. It follows that (4.8) is levelwise surjective as well.

It remains to deal with the case $\nu \not\subseteq \sigma$. Let τ be a proper face of ν . The structure maps of D corresponding to the inclusions $\tau \cap \sigma \subseteq \tau$ are identity maps:

$$i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^{\tau \cap \sigma}) = i_*^{(\tau \cap \sigma) \cap \sigma}(C^{(\tau \cap \sigma) \cap \sigma}) \longrightarrow i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^{\tau \cap \sigma})$$

It follows that the limit of D is isomorphic to the limit of the restriction of D to faces of the form $\tau \cap \sigma$ for $\tau \subset \nu$. So define $Q := \{\tau \cap \sigma \mid \tau \subset \nu\}$. In fact, Q is the poset of proper faces of ν which are also faces of σ . Now since $\nu \not\subseteq \sigma$ we know that Q has maximal element $\nu \cap \sigma \subset \nu$. With this notation, the map (4.8) can be embedded into a chain

$$i_*^{\nu \cap \sigma}(C^{\nu \cap \sigma}) \xrightarrow{(4.8)} \lim_{\tau \subset \nu} i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^{\tau \cap \sigma}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \lim_{\tau \in Q^{\text{op}}} i_*^{\tau \cap \sigma}(C^{\tau \cap \sigma}) \cong i_*^{\nu \cap \sigma}(C^{\nu \cap \sigma})$$

with composition the identity map. It follows that the map (4.8) is levelwise surjective as claimed. $\square$

4.5 The derived category via homotopy sheaves

We have constructed a pair of adjoint functors

$$\Phi: \text{Ch } \mathfrak{Qco}(X) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi: \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \text{Ch } \mathfrak{Qco}(X),$$

the functor Φ being the left adjoint. Moreover, the pair (Φ, Ξ) is a QUILLEN pair with respect to the injective model structure on $\text{Ch } \mathfrak{Qco}(X)$, and the model structure described in Lemma 4.3.2 on $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$. From general model category theory, we obtain an adjoint pair of total derived functors

$$\mathbf{L}\Phi: \text{Ho Ch } \mathfrak{Qco}(X) \longrightarrow \text{Ho } \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R}\Xi: \text{Ho } \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \text{Ho Ch } \mathfrak{Qco}(X)$$

which we can use to give a description of the derived category $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X)) = \text{Ho Ch } \mathfrak{Qco}(X)$ via homotopy sheaves:

4.5.1 Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Ho} \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$ spanned by the homotopy sheaves. The QUILLEN pair (Φ, Ξ) induces an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathbf{L}\Phi: \mathrm{Ho} \mathrm{Ch} \Omega \mathrm{co}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

with inverse given by $\mathbf{R}\Xi$.

Proof. We first have to verify that $\mathbf{L}\Phi$ takes values in $\mathcal{H}$. Every object $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathrm{Ch} \Omega \mathrm{co}(X)$ is cofibrant in the injective model structure, hence $\mathbf{L}\Phi(\mathcal{F}) \cong \Phi(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathrm{Ho} \mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$, and the relevant structure maps

$$\Phi(\mathcal{F})^\sigma|_{U_\tau} = (\mathcal{F}|_{U_\sigma})|_{U_\tau} = \mathcal{F}|_{U_\tau} = \Phi(\mathcal{F})^\tau$$

are identities, hence weak equivalences. This shows that $\mathbf{L}\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ is a homotopy sheaf, so $\mathbf{L}\Phi(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathcal{H}$.

Given $C \in \mathcal{H}$ the counit map of the adjunction of $\mathbf{L}\Phi$ and $\mathbf{R}\Xi$ is modelled by the point-set level counit map of (Φ, Ψ) at C^f ,

$$\epsilon_{C^f}: \Phi(\Xi(C^f)) \longrightarrow C^f$$

where $C \xrightarrow{\sim} C^f$ denotes a fibrant replacement in $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$. Fix a cone $\sigma \in \Sigma$. The σ -component of ϵ_{C^f} is nothing but the map r_σ of Lemma 4.4.1 applied to C^f . Since C^f is a homotopy sheaf Lemma 4.4.1 applies, and we conclude that ϵ_{C^f} is a weak equivalence. Hence $\mathbf{L}\Phi \circ \mathbf{R}\Xi(C) \longrightarrow C$ is an isomorphism in $\mathcal{H}$.

Given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Ch} \Omega \mathrm{co}(X)$ the unit map of the adjunction of $\mathbf{L}\Phi$ and $\mathbf{R}\Xi$ is modelled by the composition

$$\mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\eta_{\mathcal{F}}} \Xi(\Phi(\mathcal{F})) \xrightarrow{\Xi(a)} \Xi(\Phi(\mathcal{F})^f) \quad (4.10)$$

where $a: \Phi(\mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi(\mathcal{F})^f$ denotes a fibrant replacement of $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ in $\mathfrak{Pre}(\Sigma)$, and where $\eta_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the point-set level adjunction unit of (Φ, Ξ) . Since the functor Φ detects weak equivalences it is enough to show that the composition of the two top horizontal maps in the following diagram is a weak equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Phi(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\Phi(\eta_{\mathcal{F}})} & \Phi(\Xi(\Phi(\mathcal{F}))) & \xrightarrow{\Phi \circ \Xi(a)} & \Phi(\Xi(\Phi(\mathcal{F})^f)) \\ & \searrow \cong & \downarrow \epsilon_{\Phi(\mathcal{F})} & & \downarrow \epsilon_{\Phi(\mathcal{F})^f} \sim \\ & & \Phi(\mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{a} & \Phi(\mathcal{F})^f \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are point-set level counit maps for $\Phi(\mathcal{F})$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{F})^f$, respectively; hence the square commutes by naturality. The right-hand vertical map is a weak equivalence by Lemma 4.4.1, applied to the fibrant homotopy sheaf $\Phi(\mathcal{F})^f$. The map a is the fibrant-replacement map, hence a weak equivalence,

and the diagonal map is the identity by the theory of adjunctions (triangle identities [Mac71, §IV, p. 83]). This proves that the composition (4.10) is a weak equivalence as claimed.

We have shown that both unit and counit maps of the adjunction $(\mathbf{L}\Phi, \mathbf{R}\Xi)$ are isomorphisms in the homotopy categories in question. Hence they give an equivalence of categories of $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X)) = \mathrm{Ho} \, \mathrm{Ch} \, \mathfrak{Qco}(X)$ and $\mathcal{H}$ as claimed. $\square$

4.6 The derived category of a regular toric scheme

4.6.1 Theorem. *Let A be a commutative ring with unit. Suppose that Σ is a regular fan, and denote the associated A -scheme by X_Σ . Let $R_\Sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma(1)}$ denote the finite set of integral vectors as specified in Construction 3.3.3. The derived category $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X_\Sigma))$ can be obtained from the twisted diagram category $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ defined in 2.2.1 by inverting all those maps $X \longrightarrow Y$ which induce quasi-isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{holim} X(-\vec{k}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{holim} Y(-\vec{k}) \quad \text{for all } \vec{k} \in R_\Sigma. \quad (4.11)$$

More precisely, the homotopy category of the colocal model structure as described in Proposition 3.4.1 is equivalent to $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X_\Sigma))$. With respect to this model structure, the cofibrant objects are precisely the c -cofibrant homotopy sheaves, and a map of cofibrant objects is an objectwise weak equivalence if and only if it satisfies the condition (4.11).

Proof. The characterisations of cofibrant objects and their colocal equivalences are given in Proposition 3.4.1 and Corollary 3.4.3. The homotopy category of the colocal model structure is equivalent to its subcategory $\mathcal{A}$ spanned by homotopy sheaves (since every homotopy sheaves is isomorphic, via c -cofibrant replacement, to a colocal object). The category $\mathcal{A}$ is equivalent to the subcategory $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathrm{Ho} \, \mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$ spanned by the homotopy sheaves, cf. Remark 4.2.4. The category $\mathcal{H}$, in turn, is equivalent to $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X_\Sigma))$ according to Theorem 4.5.1. This finished the proof. $\square$

4.6.2 Corollary. *In the situation of Theorem 4.6.1, the diagrams*

$$\mathcal{O}(\vec{k}), \quad \vec{k} \in R_\Sigma$$

form a set of weak generators of $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X_\Sigma))$: A morphism $f: C \longrightarrow D$ in the category $D(\mathfrak{Qco}(X_\Sigma))$ is an isomorphism if and only if for all $\vec{k} \in R_\Sigma$ and all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map

$$\mathrm{hom}(\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell], f): \mathrm{hom}(\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell], C) \xrightarrow{f_*} \mathrm{hom}(\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell], D)$$

is an isomorphism of ABELian groups. Here $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})[\ell]$ denotes the diagram $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ considered as a chain complex concentrated in degree ℓ .

Proof. By Theorem 4.6.1 it is enough to prove the corresponding statement for the homotopy category of the colocal model structure on $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$, cf. Proposition 3.4.1. Moreover, replacing C by a cofibrant object we may assume that f is represented by an actual map $g: C \longrightarrow D$ in $\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)$. The morphism f is an isomorphism if and only if g is an R_Σ -colocal equivalence.

Morphism sets in the homotopy category can be described as the set of path components of mapping spaces; we are thus reduced to showing that g is an R_Σ -colocal equivalence if and only if the map

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell] \otimes NA[\Delta^\bullet], C) \xrightarrow{g_*} \mathrm{hom}_{\mathbf{Pre}(\Sigma)}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}(\vec{k})[\ell] \otimes NA[\Delta^\bullet], D)$$

induces a bijection after application of the functor π_0 for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $\vec{k} \in R_\Sigma$. However, it follows from the proof of Proposition 3.2.3 that g_* is a π_0 -isomorphism if and only if the map

$$\mathrm{holim} C(-\vec{k}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{holim} D(-\vec{k})$$

is an H_ℓ -isomorphism. This finishes the proof in view of Corollary 3.2.5 $\square$

In the special case of projective n -space the fan Σ has $n+1$ different 1-cones. The set $R_\Sigma \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ as defined in Construction 3.3.3 then consists of all the possible $(0, 1)$ -vectors with at most n non-zero entries, cf. Example 3.3.4, and for any $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\vec{k})$ is isomorphic to the line bundle usually denoted $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\ell)$ where $\ell = |\vec{k}|$ is the sum of the entries of $\vec{k}$. In other words, we recover the classical results that the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\ell)$, $0 \leq \ell \leq n$, generate the derived category. Note that Construction 3.3.3 gives an explicit algorithm to construct generators for the derived category of *any* regular toric scheme, defined over an arbitrary commutative ring A .

References

- [DS95] W. G. Dwyer and J. Spaliński. Homotopy theories and model categories. In *Handbook of algebraic topology*, pages 73–126. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [Ful93] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*, volume 131 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry.
- [Hir03] Philip S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Hov99] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*, volume 63 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [Hov01] Mark Hovey. Model category structures on chain complexes of sheaves. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(6):2441–2457 (electronic), 2001.

- [HR] Thomas Hüttemann and Oliver Röndigs. Twisted diagrams and homotopy sheaves. Preprint, Queen's University Belfast (2008), http://www.qub.ac.uk/puremaths/Preprints/Preprints_2008.html, arXiv:0805.4076.
- [Mac71] Saunders MacLane. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5.
- [TT90] R.W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh. Higher algebraic K -theory of schemes and of derived categories. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Collect. Artic. in Honor of the 60th Birthday of A. Grothendieck. Vol. III*, pages 247–435. Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990.